



ALAMEDA COUNTY
CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY

1333 BROADWAY, SUITE 220 • OAKLAND, CA 94612 • PHONE: (510) 836-2560 • FAX: (510) 836-2185
E-MAIL: mail@accma.ca.gov • WEB SITE: accma.ca.gov

*November 4, 2008
Agenda Item 3.3*

Memorandum

Date: October 23, 2008
To: ACTAC
From: Diane Stark, Senior Transportation Planner
Subject: Congestion Management Program: 2008 Conformity

Action Requested

ACTAC is requested to recommend that the Board adopt the findings that all the jurisdictions are in conformance with the following four elements of the Congestion Management Program (CMP):

- 1) The CMP Land Use Analysis Program;
- 2) Transportation Demand Management Element;
- 3) Payment of fees; and
- 4) LOS Monitoring:
 - a. All jurisdictions that are required to report on the three deficiency plans are in conformance; and
 - b. Based on the select link results from the Countywide model, two CMP roadway segments were found to require deficiency plans: 1) SR 185 (International) northbound between 46th Street and 42nd Street; and 2) I-880/SR 260 Connection between SR 260 (Posey Tube) and I-880 northbound (the I-880/SR 260 Connection already has an active deficiency plan in place).
 - c. All other segments identified as LOS F in the 2008 LOS Monitoring that were not grandfathered were found to be exempt from preparing a deficiency plan due to interregional trips.

Next Steps

The CMA Board adopts final conformity findings at the December 4th meeting.

Discussion

To be found in conformance with the adopted Alameda County CMP, local jurisdictions must take the following actions as required by the Government Code Section 65089.3 and the Joint Powers Agreement:

1. Adopt and implement a Land Use Analysis Program that meets the requirements detailed in the current Congestion management Program (CMP).
2. Adopt and implement the Required Program of the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Element (Site Design and participation in the CMP Capital Improvement Plan).
3. Submit payment for their share of CMA costs as specified in Section 20 of the Joint Powers Agreement.
4. Address any deficiencies identified in the Level of Service (LOS) monitoring of the CMP system.

The attached table “2008 CMP Conformance: Land use Analysis, Site Design Guidelines, Payment of Fees, and Deficiency Plans” indicates the status of local agency submittals by jurisdiction of CMP conformance.

The details of the conformance with regard to the Deficiency Plans are as follows:

Active Deficiency Plans

All jurisdictions that are required to report on the three deficiency plans are in conformance as follows:

1. SR 260 (Posey Tube) eastbound and I-80 northbound freeway connection
Lead: City of Oakland
Participation Jurisdictions: Cities of Berkeley and Alameda
Progress Report and Letters of Concurrence: Review and progress is satisfactory.
2. Mowry Ave eastbound from Peralta Boulevard to SR 238/Mission Boulevard
Lead: City of Fremont
Participation Jurisdiction: Newark
Progress Report and Letters of concurrence: Received and short term mitigation measure has been completed and progress is satisfactory
3. I-580 westbound between Center Street and I-238 in Alameda County.
Lead: Alameda County
Participation Jurisdictions: Cities of Oakland, San Leandro, Dublin, Livermore, Pleasanton, and Dublin
Progress Report and Letters of Concurrence: Received and progress is satisfactory.

New Deficiency Plans Based on 2008 LOS Monitoring Results

Thirty-three CMP roadway segments were identified as performing at LOS F and not grandfathered. Based on the select link results from the Countywide model, two CMP roadway segments were found to require deficiency plans. 1) SR 185 (International)

northbound between 46th Street and 42nd Street; and 2) I-880/SR 260 Connection between SR 260 (Posey Tube) and I-880 northbound. The I-880/SR 260 Connection already has an active deficiency plan in place.

Table 1
2008 CMP CONFORMANCE
Land Use Analysis, Site Design, Payment of Fees and Deficiency Plans

| | Land Use Analysis Program | | | Site Design | Payment of Fees | Deficiency Plans/LOS Standards | Meets All Requirements |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|
| | Tier 1 - Ordinance Adoption | Tier 1: GPA & NOP Submittals | Tier 2- Land Use Forecasts | | | Deficiency Plan Progress Reports and Concurrence | |
| Jurisdiction | Alameda County | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Alameda | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Albany | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Berkeley | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Dublin | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Emeryville | Yes | X | Yes | X | N/A | Yes |
| | City of Fremont | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Hayward | Yes | X | Yes | X | N/A | Yes |
| | City of Livermore | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Newark | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Oakland | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of Piedmont | Yes | X | Yes | X | N/A | Yes |
| | City of Pleasanton | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| | City of San Leandro | Yes | X | Yes | X | Yes | Yes |
| City of Union City | Yes | X | Yes | X | N/A | Yes | |

N/A indicates that the city is not responsible for any deficiency plan for the past fiscal year.

n/a*- Cities that have not prepared any General Plan Amendments (GPA) or Notice of Preparation (NOP) in the past fiscal year